

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 13,638.2 2215 10/627,061 07/25/2003 Palani Raj Ramaswami Wallajapet **EXAMINER** 23556 11/26/2004 KIMBERLY-CLARK WORLDWIDE, INC. CHAPMAN, GINGER T **401 NORTH LAKE STREET** ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER NEENAH, WI 54956 3761

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		\mathcal{A}	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/627,061	RAMASWAMI WALLAJAPET ET	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ginger T Chapman	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>.</u>		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 65-93 is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	alastian requirement		
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>65-93</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	•	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		-	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.	
Attachmont/c\			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Delice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) ☐ Notice of Informal F 6) ☐ Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 80/75/65 drawn to a disposable absorbent product with acidic functional groups and polymeric base materials, classified in class 604, subclass 367.
- II. Claims 80/75/69 drawn to a disposable absorbent product with acidic functional groups and non-polymeric base materials, classified in class 604, subclass 358.
- III. Claim 93, drawn to a disposable absorbent product with basic functional groups and non-polymeric acidic materials, classified in class 604, subclass 358.
- IV. Claims 66-68 and 76-79, drawn to an absorbent structure having acidic functional groups and polymeric basic materials, classified in class 428, subclass 221+.
- V. Claims 70-74 and 76-79, drawn to an absorbent structure having acidic functional groups and non-polymeric basic materials, classified in class 428, subclass 98+.
- VI. Claims 81-84, drawn to an absorbent structure with basic functional groups and polymeric acidic materials, classified in class 428, subclass 221+.
- VII. Claims 86-92, drawn to an absorbent structure with basic functional groups and non-polymeric acidic materials, classified in class 428, subclass 98+.

Inventions Groups I-III and Groups IV-VII are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations

Art Unit: 3761

(MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the disposable absorbent product could be produced utilizing a different absorbent pad such as, for example, a cellulose structure. The subcombination has separate utility such as, for example, for use in cleaning products.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Groups I-III is not required for Groups IV-VII, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

If Groups I or IV is elected, the linking claim is 65 and 75/65. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim, claim 75. Upon the allowance of the linking claim, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicants are advised that if any such claim depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim is presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. *In re Ziegler*, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

If Groups II or V is elected, the linking claim is 69 and 75/69. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim, claim 75. Upon the allowance of the linking claim, the restriction requirement as to the linked

Art Unit: 3761

inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicants are advised that if any such claim depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim is presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

If Groups III or VII is elected, the linking claim is 85. The restriction requirement among the linked inventions is subject to the nonallowance of the linking claim, claim 85. Upon the allowance of the linking claim, the restriction requirement as to the linked inventions shall be withdrawn and any claim depending from or otherwise including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim will be entitled to examination in the instant application. Applicants are advised that if any such claim depending from or including all the limitations of the allowable linking claim is presented in a continuation or divisional application, the claims of the continuation or divisional application may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Where a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. In re Ziegler, 44 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Application/Control Number: 10/627,061

Art Unit: 3761

Page 5

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ginger T Chapman whose telephone number is (703) 305-0471.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Larry Schwartz can be reached on (703) 308-1412. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ginger Chapman

Examiner, Art Unit 3761

Larry I. Schwartz Supervisory Patent Examiner

De Shwar

Greup 3700